

Message

From: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Sent: 10/10/2017 10:41:46 PM
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil]; Bill Franklin (william.d.franklin@navy.mil) [william.d.franklin@navy.mil]; Amy Brownell (amy.brownell@sfdph.org) [amy.brownell@sfdph.org]; Koenig, Kellie/SDO [Kellie.Koenig@ch2m.com]; Henderson, Kim/SDO [Kimberly.Henderson@CH2M.com]; Drew, Tamsen (ADM) [tamsen.drew@sfgov.org]; juanita.bacey@dtsc.ca.gov; Ures, Tina@Waterboards [tina.ures@waterboards.ca.gov]; Elizabeth Basinet [elizabeth.basinet@NOREASINC.COM]; Janda, Danielle L CIV [danielle.janda@navy.mil]
CC: Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Yogi, David [Yogi.David@epa.gov]
Subject: FYI - response to freelance writer Laura Newberry (10/6/17) re earthquakes & sea level rise
Attachments: Parcel E-2 Remedial Design Rpt Excerpts Geotechnical short.pdf

FYI - We previously sent you our answers to the reporter's questions. Here's a new followup question we received afterwards & EPA's response below.

From: Huitric, Michele
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 3:13 PM
To: LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>
Cc: Huitric, Michele <Huitric.Michele@epa.gov>
Subject: final response to freelance writer Laura Newberry (10/6/17)

Lily, here is the final response to freelance writer Laura Newberry. Thanks, Michele

Question: I have one more question regarding the Shipyard: do you have any documents that lay out how the Navy is taking sea level rise and earthquakes into account as it remediates the land? I want to make sure I'm thorough in my explanation.

Response: The public documents below, which were submitted to EPA and other regulatory agencies by the Navy for review and approval, provide background on Parcel E-2, which includes the retaining wall and sea wall referenced in our previous response.

- This report describes the remedial design selected for Parcel E-2 and provides details about the consideration of sea level rise and earthquakes:
http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/final_documents2?global_id=38440005&doc_id=5005528
 - Please see the attached pdf for an excerpt of the design report that is most relevant to your question.
- This is the proposed plan, which gives background of the site and the Navy's proposed remedy:
http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/deliverable_documents/8317823112/Parcel_E-2_ProposedPlan.pdf
- Finally, as background, here is the Record of Decision for Parcel E-2:
http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/deliverable_documents/9484805047/Hunters%20Point%20Naval%20Shipyard%2C%20SF%2C%20CA%20Site_Final_E-2_ROD_11.2012.pdf